

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In re:)
MAX E. SALAS,) Case No. 18-00260
) Chapter 11
Debtor.)
)

**SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR PLAN OF
REORGANIZATION DATED DECEMBER 5, 2019**

COMES NOW Max E. Salas, the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession (“Debtor”), and hereby presents this Disclosure Statement pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Debtor provides this Disclosure Statement in order to disclose the information believed to be material for creditors to arrive at a reasonably informed decision in exercising the right to vote on acceptance of the Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code Proposed by the Debtor (the “Plan”).

**NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE DEBTOR ARE AUTHORIZED
BY THE DEBTOR, OTHER THAN AS SET FORTH IN THIS DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATIONS OR INDUCEMENTS MADE TO SECURE
ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE PLAN WHICH ARE NOT CONTAINED IN
THIS STATEMENT SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON BY ANY CREDITOR AND
SHOULD BE REPORTED TO THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATE TRUSTEE.
INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT BEEN**

DB02/804722 0002/6981750.2
CORE/3502869.0005/156430962.1

**SUBJECT TO A CERTIFIED AUDIT. CONSEQUENTLY, THE RECORDS KEPT BY
THE DEBTOR ARE NOT WARRANTED OR REPRESENTED TO BE WITHOUT ANY
INACCURACY, ALTHOUGH GREAT EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO BE
ACCURATE AND COMPLETE.**

II. VOTING ON THE PLAN AND CONFIRMATION

Voting on acceptance or rejection of the Plan is governed by provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. Each voting creditor will be supplied with an Official Ballot, in a form prescribed by the Bankruptcy Rules. Creditors may vote to accept or reject the Plan by filing a completed ballot with counsel for the Debtor, within the time prescribed for voting. A class of creditors will be considered to have accepted the Plan (i) if it is accepted by creditors holding at least two-thirds in amount and more than one half in number of the allowed claims of such class that have voted, or (ii) if the class is unimpaired within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Bankruptcy Court will conduct a hearing and rule on confirmation of the Plan in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Columbia, Bankruptcy Court's Courtroom, United States Courthouse, 3rd and Constitution Avenues, NW, Washington, DC 20001. You will receive a separate notice of that hearing.

III. CONFIRMATION UNDER ALTERNATE STANDARDS

If all requirements for confirmation of the Plan under the Bankruptcy Code are otherwise satisfied, the Debtor will ask the Bankruptcy Court to confirm the Plan without the unanimous acceptance of classes of creditors assuming the Court finds that the Plan does not discriminate unfairly against, and is fair and equitable (within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code) with respect to, any class of holders of claims that has or is deemed to have rejected the Plan.

IV. HISTORY AND BACKGROUND OF THE DEBTOR AND REASONS FOR FILING BANKRUPTCY

The debtor in this case is an individual residing in the District of Columbia at 1610 Riggs Place, NW, Washington, DC 20009 (the "Property"). During the night of June 3, 2015, a fire occurred at the Property. Tragically, two roomers living in the main part of the home, Nina Brekelmans and Michael Patrick McLoughlin, were not able to escape and died in the blaze. As a result of the fire, the Property was nearly completely destroyed. Max Salas was also present at the time of the fire, where, along with his visiting grandson, he was forced to jump from the second story of the building. As a result, Max sustained serious injuries including burns and a broken ankle that required hospitalization for a period of six weeks. Following his release from the hospital Max further was in convalescence as a result of his injuries and due to an infection he contracted, was required to receive drip antibiotics for three months and was heavily sedated during this time. During this time, Max lived at an apartment provided to him through the insurance policy he had previously obtained in his name for the Property.

On October 20, 2015, the parents of Nina Brekelmans and Michael Patrick McLoughlin initiated wrongful death actions against both Max Salas and Len Salas in D.C. Superior Court as Case Numbers 2015 CA 008054 B and 2015 CA 008061 B (the "Superior Court Litigation"). One of the Debtor's sons, Len Salas, was included in the action due his appearing as the last recorded interest in the Property with the D.C. Recorder of Deeds. The two cases brought by each family were later consolidated into a single action.

Following a jury verdict at the trial against Len and Max, Len, as record owner of the Property, was found jointly liable with Max to the McLoughlin Estate and the Brekelmans Estate (together, the "Judgment Creditors") in the respective amounts of \$7.7 million and \$7.5 million

(together, the "D.C. Superior Court Judgments"). These judgments prompted Max Salas and Len Salas to each file a Chapter 11 bankruptcy case on April 18, 2018. Len Salas's bankruptcy case was later converted to chapter 7 and is currently pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Tennessee as Case No. 3:18-bk-02662 (the "Len Salas Bankruptcy Case").

V. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 11 CASE

A. Confirmation of the Debtor's Ownership Interest in the Property and Ability to Claim the District of Columbia's Homestead Exemption for the Property

Max Salas's status as sole legal and equitable owner of the Property had previously been called into question through the course of the Superior Court Litigation based on the fact that DC Land Records displays Len Salas as possessing the last recorded deeded interest in the Property. Questions concerning who possessed the proper ownership interest in the Property were further complicated by the existence of a Trust Agreement previously signed by Len Salas and Max Salas and a Quitclaim Deed that was not recorded with the DC Recorder of Deeds. With the bankruptcy, Debtor claimed full ownership of the Property and claimed an exemption in this interest in the Property pursuant to the District of Columbia's homestead exemption found in D.C. Code § 15-501(a)(14). The Debtor's claim to ownership of the Property and to the homestead exemption were objected to by the Judgment Creditors. Following a three-day trial on the matter, on September 25, 2018, the Bankruptcy Court issued a fifty-nine page opinion (the "Homestead Opinion") [ECF Dkt. No. 108] detailing Max Salas's history with the Property. The Homestead Opinion confirmed that Max Salas possessed the full legal and equitable interest to the Property and overruled the Judgment Creditors' objection to the Debtor's claim of the

homestead exemption in the Property. A copy of the Homestead Opinion, which details Max Salas's full ownership history of the Property is attached to this Disclosure Statement for reference as Exhibit A.

The Judgment Creditors timely appealed the Homestead Opinion and that appeal is currently pending before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia as Case No. 1:18-cv-02318-KBJ (the "Homestead Appeal"). Although the Homestead Opinion is on appeal, no stay has been issued concerning the Homestead Opinion and it currently remains an enforceable order of the Court.

B. Judgment Creditors Purchase of Avoidance Claims from the Len Salas Bankruptcy Estate

The Len Salas Bankruptcy Case was converted to chapter 7 on December 26, 2018, and a chapter 7 trustee has been appointed to that case. Following the conversion, another of Debtor's sons, Ron Salas, sought to purchase any potential avoidance rights concerning transfer of the property from Len Salas to Max Salas described in the Homestead Opinion in order to help his father with reducing further contingencies and uncertainties in his bankruptcy case concerning the Property. Following an auction conducted by the trustee in the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case, the Judgment Creditors purchased "each and every claim and recovery action to which the Trustee or the [Len Salas bankruptcy] estate may have under the provisions of 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 through 553, as applicable, related to" the Property for the purchase price of \$156,000.00. As evidenced by a *Trustee's Report of Sale* filed by the Trustee in the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case on August 14, 2019 and a *Sale of Estate Property Free and Clear of Liens and Interests* attached to that report, Payment was delivered by the Judgment Creditors and their purchase of the avoidance actions from the Len Salas bankruptcy estate was completed on July 31, 2019. To date,

no avoidance actions have yet been initiated by the Judgment Creditors based on these claims, although on October 11, 2019, the Judgment Creditors did file a Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay in the Debtor's bankruptcy case seeking authority to file such claim. [ECF Dkt. No. 252]. This motion is currently pending before the Court and is scheduled for hearing on November 14, 2019.

C. Debtor Obtaining a Conditional Certificate of Occupancy and Licensing to Rent Rooms at the Property through Short-Tem Rentals

Following the issuance of the Homestead Opinion, the Debtor was able to secure a Certificate of Occupancy and licensing to begin renting rooms at the Property though the short-term rental site, Airbnb. A copy of the Debtor's Certificate of Occupancy and associated licensing is attached as Exhibit B. Debtor's Certificate of Occupancy in his name is conditioned upon Debtor's continued status as owner of the Property and would be revoked should that status be overturned through an adverse ruling in the Homestead Appeal or through an adverse ruling in a potential avoidance action that could be brought by the Judgment Creditors based on their purchase of those claims from the trustee in the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case. Since beginning to rent the rooms on a short-term basis through Airbnb beginning in April 2019, the Debtor has earned \$62,041.42 in rental payouts for the period of April 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019. Additionally, the Debtor has secured a lease for the basement unit at the property for the monthly rental amount of \$4,500.00.

D. Fusion Contracting Default Judgment

On February 8, 2019, the Debtor filed a Complaint initiating Adversary Proceeding No. 19-10002 against Fusion Contracting Group, LLC (the "Fusion Adversary Proceeding") based on breach contract claims stemming from unfinished and faulty work performed by a contractor

contracted to perform renovation work to the Property after the fire that occurred in 2015.

Following a default by the defendant in that action, Judgment for the Debtor was entered on July 30, 2019, in the amount of \$79,287.17 in damages and attorney's fees, with post-judgment interest on that judgment award as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1961. The Debtor has not yet performed any post-judgment discovery regarding the Fusion Judgment and makes no representations regarding its collectability.

E. Deed of Trust Stipulation for Plan Treatment & New Funding for the Plan

Through the Plan the Debtor is seeking approval of a Stipulation Regarding Plan Treatment reached between the Debtor and U.S. Bank>Select Portfolio Servicing for the existing Deed of Trust on the Property. Under the terms of the proposed agreement, the Debtor will assume payments of \$8,329.19 per month toward the Deed of Trust for the Property as adequate protection until Plan confirmation. Following approval of confirmation of the Plan, the Debtor shall make payments following re-amortization by U.S. Bank following Confirmation based on an approximate balance of \$1,208,720.40 at a 5.25% fixed interest rate with payments calculated at a 360 month amortization schedule, with all amounts due upon the maturity date May 1, 2037. The full stipulation between the Debtor and U.S. Bank, subject to approval of the Bankruptcy Court of the Debtor's Plan, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

In addition to the agreement reached between the Debtor and U.S. Bank>Select Portfolio Servicing, the Debtor will deliver all non-exempt assets, primarily consisting of the Fusion Judgment, towards funding of the Plan. Debtor additionally, will provide \$225,000.00 in additional new value into the Plan, in funds guaranteed and secured by funds contained in the Debtor's exempt retirement account.

F. Post-Petition Employment of Professionals

The Debtor has been represented in this Chapter 11 proceeding by Stinson LLP as his general bankruptcy counsel, Phillip G. Young, Jr. of Thompson Burton, PLLC as local counsel for representation in the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case¹, and Justin Fasano and Janet Nesse of McNamee Hosea as conflicts counsel for matters concerning U.S. Bank. The Debtor's accountant is Arthur Lander, CPA PC. The Debtor will continue to use these professionals post-confirmation as necessary until the bankruptcy case is closed.

VI. OVERVIEW OF ASSETS AND MAJOR CLAIMS

The Debtor's primary Asset, as declared in the Homestead Opinion, is the real property located at 1610 Riggs Place, NW, Washington, DC 20009 and serves both as the primary residence of the Debtor and source of rental income through the renting of rooms through short-term rental site Airbnb. Debtor has scheduled the value of this property on his Schedules as \$2.5 million. The recent appraised value of the real property is \$2.45 million. Although not specifically a secured claim of the Debtor, the Property is subject to a Note and Deed of Trust made by Len Salas originally in favor of U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee for the holders of Bank of America Funding Corporation Mortgage pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-6 and originally serviced by SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. On April 8, 2019, Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. filed a Transfer of Claim Other than for Security in the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case indicating that they now serve as servicer on the Note and Deed of Trust on the Property.

¹ The Debtor has also employed Roderick K. Barnes of Rollins, Smalkin, Richards & Mackie, LLC ("Barnes") as special counsel for matters concerning the Superior Court Litigation. Payment to Barnes is covered by the insurance policy the debtor possessed at the time of the Property fire and no attorney fees are expected to be owed from debtor's bankruptcy estate.

This claim is treated as Class 3 in the Plan. The Debtor has negotiated with U.S. Bank/Select Portfolio Servicing and has arrived at terms for adequate protection payments to U.S. Bank while confirmation of the debtor's plan is sought and for modification of the secured loan upon approval of the plan, pursuant to the Stipulation for Plan Treatment attached as Exhibit C. Debtor's interest in the property has been fully exempted pursuant to the Homestead Opinion and DC Code § 15-501(a)(14).

From Debtor's exempt Property he generates rental income through the leasing of the basement unit at the Property, and through short-term rentals of two individual room in the Property through the web/rental service Airbnb. These rental monies received are themselves exempt as fruits of the Debtor's exempt asset and are further detailed in the income projection attached as Exhibit D. Airbnb records of demonstrating the rental history for the Property is attached as Exhibit E.

A second significant asset of the estate includes the recently entered Judgment against Fusion Contracting Group, LLC in the amount of \$79,287.17. The likely collectability of the Fusion Judgment is unknown at this time.

Although not an asset of the estate, the Debtor possesses an exempt retirement account. From this exempt account, the Debtor is proposing in his plan to offer \$225,000 to further fund his Plan through funds backed by this exempt retirement account. Debtor plans to accomplish this either by receiving a loan 100 percent guaranteed and secured by the exempt retirement assets, or a direct withdrawal from his retirement account of these funds for delivery into a Plan Fund for distribution to claimants. Debtor's exempt retirement account contains funds of approximately \$500,000 and is sufficient to cover direct withdrawal of the proposed \$225,000,

and any additional tax liabilities incurred based on a withdrawal of the this amount, and any payments toward extended tax payments under the Plan if necessary.

Other significant claims of the estate include those of the Judgment Creditors in the respective amounts of \$7.5 million (Brekelmans) and \$7.7 million (McLoughlin). The Debtor listed these claims as disputed in his bankruptcy Schedules based on the fact that the Debtor had timely filed appeals of each judgment and on the fact that the judgements were not based on final orders of the Superior Court pursuant to D.C. Sup. Ct. R. Civ. P Rule 54(b). Nevertheless, the Debtor has provided for treatment of the Judgment Creditor claims within the Plan.

Based on the findings of fact stated in the Homestead Opinion, the District of Columbia filed a Proof of Claim asserting a \$83,960.42 associated with unpaid transfer and recordation taxes associated with transfer of the Property from Len Salas to Max Salas in 2010, including \$34,832.19 in recordation and transfer taxes due based on the transfer of the property occurring in July 6, 2010 and which is listed as a secured by the Property, a non-filing penalty of \$8,708.05 (25%) (unsecured), and interest on the supposed deficiency in the amount of \$40,420.18 (claimed as secured).

Debtor's Plan contains definitions, a procedure for claims objections and a provision for post-confirmation jurisdiction. Readers should refer to the Plan for a complete discussion of these provisions.

VII. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN

A. Classification and Treatment of Claims Under the Plan:

THE FOLLOWING IS A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PLAN. THIS SUMMARY SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON FOR VOTING PURPOSES. CREDITORS ARE URGED

TO READ THE ENTIRE PLAN. A COPY OF THE PLAN IS BEING SENT TO YOU WITH THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. THE PLAN REPRESENTS A PROPOSED LEGALLY BINDING AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE DEBTOR AND ITS CREDITORS. ALL CREDITORS WILL BE BOUND BY THE PLAN.

1. Classification - Summary

The following is a designation of each Class of Claims and Interests under the Plan. A Claim or Interest is classified in a particular Class only to the extent that the Claim or Interest qualifies within the description of that Class, and is classified in another Class or Classes to the extent that any remainder of the Claim or Interest qualifies within the description of such other Class or Classes. Each “Class” (e.g., Class 2A versus Class 2B and Class 2A versus Class 2B) is separate and distinct from all other Classes for all purposes.

2. Classification - Specifics

Claims and Interests are classified for all purposes, including voting, confirmation and distribution pursuant to the Plan, as follows:

- Class 1:** All administrative claims including for professional fees, United States Trustee Quarterly fees and administrative taxes. This class consists of fees and expenses for Stinson LLP, fees and expenses for Thompson Burton PLLC, fees and expenses for McNamee, Hosea, Jernigan, Kim, Greenan & Lynch, P.A., fees and expenses of Art Lander CPA PC, any other professional fees that may be incurred by the Debtor, and the United States Trustee’s quarterly fees. (Unimpaired).
- Class 2:** All Priority Claims including those arising pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 507(a)(8). (Impaired).
- Class 3:** U.S. Bank National Association/ Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. Secured Claim against Property (Impaired).

- Class 4:** Allowed Secured Claim of IRS (Impaired).
- Class 5:** District of Columbia Transfer & Recordation Tax Claim (Claim 5) (Impaired)
- Class 6:** Unsecured Claims of Judgment Creditors (Claim No. 3 – McLoughlin; Claim No. 4 - Brekelmans) (Impaired).
- Class 7:** All Remaining Allowed Unsecured Non-Priority Claims. (Impaired).
- Class 8:** Allowed Unsecured Penalty Claim of the District of Columbia (Impaired).
- Class 9:** Paid Hyundai Lease Titling Trust Lease claim (Unimpaired)
- Class 10:** Contribution Claim of Len Salas listed on Schedules associated with U.S. Bank/SPS Arrearages (Unimpaired)

3. Treatment of Claims and Interests

A. Administrative Claims

1. Class 1 Administrative Claims

The Debtor shall assume and pay to each holder of an Allowed Administrative Claim, on account of the Administrative Claim and in full satisfaction thereof, Cash equal to the allowed amount of such Administrative Claim, unless the holder agrees or shall have agreed to other less favorable treatment of such Claim. Debtor anticipates that some form of agreement concerning payment of fees will need to be reached with his legal counsel and accountant in order to preserve desired amounts for payment to additional creditors. Administrative Claims are expected to exceed \$175,000, but payment of Administrative Claims from the Plan Fund shall not exceed and be capped at \$175,000.

2. Statutory Fees and Continuing Duties to the Office of the United States Trustee

All fees payable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1930, shall be paid by the Debtor in Cash in an amount equal to the amount of such Administrative Claim.

Until such time as the case is closed, dismissed or converted, the Debtor shall continue to report its disbursements each calendar quarter to the U.S. Trustee and shall timely pay all fees due under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6).

3. Treatment and Payment of Administrative Claims.

After the Effective Date, all professional or others requesting compensation or reimbursement of expenses pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 327, 328, 330, 503(b) and 1103 for services rendered before the Effective Date shall file an application for final allowance of compensation and reimbursement of expenses. Applications need not be filed with respect to fees and expenses previously applied for by Court approved professionals (including applications previously approved by and/or previously paid pursuant to an order of the Court). Fees and expenses of professionals shall be paid in Cash in full (a) immediately before the Effective Date, to the extent allowed by the Court as of such date (such payments to be made by the Debtor) or as agreed by the Parties if a lesser amount, but not to exceed an aggregate amount of \$175,000.00 from the Plan Fund, and (b) on or before the tenth (10th) day after entry of an order allowing any such fees and expenses, to the extent such fees and expenses were not allowed as of the Effective Date, or upon such payment schedule as agreed between the Parties.

B. Class 2: Priority Claims

The only known Priority Claim consists of a certain portion of the claim filed by the Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$13,196.78 (Claim 2-2). Unless the applicable taxing agency or any other priority claim holders that may be established agree to less favorable

treatment, each holder of an Allowed Priority Claim to the extent their claim is allowable and entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 507(a), shall be paid by the Debtor in regular monthly installment payments totaling the full amount of the claim plus interest pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 511 beginning on the first day of the month following the Effective Date of the Plan and lasting until April 1, 2023 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(C). If Debtor is unable to generate sufficient funds from his future rental income from the Property and other monthly income received, Debtor will deliver payment on the Class 2 claims from funds held in his exempt retirement account if necessary. This class is impaired.

C. Class 3: U.S. Bank National Association/ Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. Secured Claim against Property

Class 3 consists of the secured lien currently in the name on Len Salas possessed by U.S. Bank National Association/ Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. and secured by the Property (the U.S. Bank Claim). Based on Debtor's ownership in the Property established through the Homestead Opinion, the Debtor has entered into a Stipulation for Plan Treatment with U.S. Bank National Association/ Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. to establish terms for Debtor's payment toward the US Bank Claim and address current arrearages owed. Full terms of the U.S. Bank Stipulation for Plan Treatment are attached as Exhibit C. Under the stipulation, beginning November 1, 2019, the Debtor is to begin making adequate protection payments on the U.S. Bank Claim in the amount of \$8,329.19 per month until approval of the U.S. Bank agreement through confirmation of the Plan and the Plan's Effective Date, after which time the Debtor shall make payments following re-amortization by U.S. Bank following Confirmation based on an approximate balance of \$1,208,720.40 at a 5.25% fixed interest rate with payments calculated at a 360 month amortization schedule, with all amounts due upon the maturity date May 1, 2037. U.S. Bank's

claim is an *in rem* claim attaching to the Property, and the Debtor shall not be liable under the underlying Deed of Trust and Note shall be limited to Debtor's interest in the Property, with no personal liability. Debtor further intends to seek a refund of vacant real property taxes previously erroneously assessed against the Debtor and paid pre-petition by U.S. Bank (at the time serviced through SunTrust Bank) to the District of Columbia. If the Debtor were to be successful in receiving a refund of tax payments previously paid, they are to be delivered in full to U.S. Bank/SPS for payment of the existing escrow balance on the U.S. Bank Claim. This class is impaired.

D. Class 4: Allowed Secured Claim of IRS.

Class 4 consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of the IRS consisting of that \$6,768.66 portion of the claim they have filed in the Case (Claim 2-2). Unless the holder of this claim agrees to less favorable treatment, to the extent the claim is allowed, payment on Class 4 claims, is to be paid by the Debtor in regular monthly installment payments totaling the full amount of the claim plus interest pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 511 beginning on the first day of the month following the Effective Date of the Plan and lasting until April 1, 2023 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(C). If Debtor is unable to generate sufficient funds from his future rental income from the Property and other monthly income received, Debtor will deliver payment on the Class 4 claims from funds held in his exempt retirement account if necessary. The IRS shall retain its lien until paid in full. This class is Impaired.

E. Class 5: District of Columbia Transfer & Recordation Tax Claim

Class 5 consists of that portion claimed as owing for Transfer & Recordation Taxes and Interest on Deficiency in the amount of \$75,252.37 claimed on Claim 5 filed by the District of

Columbia. The District has claimed this amount associated with the August 4, 2010 date of transfer from Len Salas to Max Salas described in the Homestead Opinion. The Debtor believes the District is not entitled to claim this amount due to the fact that no deed was recorded at that time and any future recording confirming of Max Salas's ownership in the Property is to be enacted through this Plan and exempt from such taxes pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1146(a). Debtor intends to object to the District's Claim prior to Confirmation of the Plan. Nevertheless, payment to the District, to the extent their claim is allowable, is to be paid by the Debtor in regular monthly installment payments totaling the full amount of the claim beginning on the first day of the month following the Effective Date of the Plan and lasting until April 1, 2023 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(C). Class 5 is impaired.

F. Class 6: Allowed Unsecured Claims of Judgment Creditors (Claim No. 3 – McLoughlin; Claim No. 4 - Brekelmans)

Class 6 consists of the two claims of the Judgment Creditors in the total amount of \$15.2 million (Claim No. 3 – McLoughlin \$7.7 million; Claim No. 4 – Brekelmans; \$7.5 million). Debtor's plan provides that payment on the Class 6 Claims will be made pro-rata, along with the Class 7 remaining allowed unsecured non-priority claims, from a Plan Fund funded by the minimal amounts of the existing non-exempt assets of the Debtor consisting of non-exempt cash assets existing in Debtor's DIP account at the time of Confirmation, plus an additional influx of \$225,000 of funds secured by Debtor's exempt retirement account. Administrative Claims are expected to exceed \$175,000, but payment from the Plan Fund towards Administrative Claims shall be capped at \$175,000, leaving at least \$50,000 of remaining funds from the additional \$225,000 cash infusion for distribution to Class 6 and Class 7 Claims. The Plan further provides assignment of any uncollected amounts of the Fusion Judgment on the Effective Date jointly to

both Judgment Creditors. The Debtor will not oppose efforts and provide reasonable assistance to the Judgment Creditors' efforts to collect available Insurance Proceeds from Encompass associated with their judgments. Upon receipt of discharge, the Debtor will take steps to withdraw his appeal of the Judgment Creditor Judgments in the DC Superior Court to further resolve that issue. Judgment Creditor Claims are being given separate Class treatment based on the fact that they may also collect on the amounts owed to them from available Insurance Proceeds (See Motion for Relief from Stay Order; ECF Dkt. No. 74), and based on the fact that they possess the right to prosecute the Tennessee Avoidance Actions regarding the Property purchased from the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case. The Class 6 and Class 7 claims listed on Debtor's Schedules have been valued at \$15,239,769.40, 99.7% percent (\$15.2 million) of which is possessed by the Judgment Creditors. It is anticipated therefore that 99.7% percent of distributions from the Plan Fund will be distributed to Class 6 Claims.

The payment will be made thirty (30) days after the later of (i) the payment of all Administrative Claims; and (ii) the Effective Date. This Class is Impaired.

G. Class 7: Remaining Allowed Unsecured Non-Priority Claims.

All Class 7 Claims, consisting of all remaining allowed unsecured non-priority claims will receive a one-time pro-rata distribution following the Effective Date from remaining amounts in the Plan Fund following payment to those possessing claims of a higher priority. Administrative Claims are expected to exceed \$175,000, but payment from the Plan Fund towards Administrative Claims shall be capped at \$175,000, leaving at least \$50,000 of remaining funds from the additional \$225,000 cash infusion for distribution towards other creditors. The Class 6 and Class 7 claims listed on Debtor's Schedules have been valued at

\$15,239,769.40, 0.3% percent (\$39,769.40) of which is possessed by the Class 7 Claimants. It is anticipated therefore that 0.3% percent of distributions from the Plan Fund will be distributed to Class 7 Claims. The payment will be made thirty (30) days after the later of (i) the payment of Administrative Claims from the Plan Fund; and (ii) the Effective Date. This class is impaired.

H. Class 8: Allowed Unsecured Penalty Claim of the District of Columbia

Class 8 consists of that portion of the Claim filed by the District of Columbia in the amount of \$8,708.05 representing a non-filing penalty associated with other aspects of Claim 5, to the extent such claim is an allowed unsecured penalty claim. Assuming funds remain available in the Plan Fund, Class 8 claims will receive a one-time pro-rata distribution following the Effective Date from remaining amounts in the Plan Fund following payment to those possessing claims of a higher priority. However, based on the large amount of claims in Class 6 and Class 7, no distribution is expected to be made on Class 8 claims. To the extent a payment is made, the payment will be made thirty (30) days after the later of (i) the payment of all higher priority Claims to be made from the Plan Fund; and (ii) the Effective Date. This class is impaired.

I. Class 9: Allowed Paid Hyundai Lease Titling Trust Lease Claim

Class 9 consists of the lease claim filed by Hyundai Titling Trust filed on May 3, 2018 as Claim 1 associated with the lease of a vehicle by the Debtor at the time of the Petition Date. Following the Petition Date, Debtor continued to make lease payments due for the vehicle until the lease terminated in January 2019. No further payments are owed under the Hyundai lease and the Debtor has surrendered the Vehicle. No further payments are therefore contemplated for this claim. This class has been paid in full and is unimpaired.

J. Class 10: Contribution Claim of Len Salas listed on Schedules associated with U.S. Bank/SPS Arrearages (Unimpaired)

Class 10 consists of the claim of Len Salas listed on the Debtor's Schedule E/F at 4.14 in the amount of \$428,614.90. This claim solely represents arrearages existing on the U.S. Bank/SPS Claim existing on the Petition Date. Because the deed of trust and underlying note for the Property were in the name of Len Salas, but the Debtor was responsible for making payments for the Property pursuant to his ownership interest later established in the Homestead Opinion, the Debtor included this claim to Len Salas representing amounts ultimately owed as arrearages for the lien on the Property. Len Salas having received his discharge and the Debtor having reached agreement with U.S. Bank/SPS regarding the U.S. Bank Claim described in the Stipulation for Plan Treatment, no further treatment or payment to Len Salas (for forwarding to U.S. Bank) is warranted by the Debtor and will not occur under Debtor's Plan. Class 10 is Unimpaired.

4. Means of Funding the Plan.

Debtor's Plan seeks modification of the existing secured debt on the Property through the Stipulation for Plan Treatment with U.S. Bank. All continuing payments under the Plan, including pre- and post-confirmation monthly payments to U.S. Bank per the Stipulation for Plan Treatment, and for the Extended Tax Payments are expected to be derived wholly from the Debtor's future exempt earnings consisting of monthly deposits from social security, disability payments, and Airbnb and other rental earnings from the Property. A Projection of the Debtor's future income generated from these exempt sources is attached as Exhibit D. Further with respect to the Extended Tax Payments of the IRS, if Debtor's future income is insufficient to make these payments, Debtor will make payment on these claims from funds held in his exempt retirement account if necessary. The Debtor will further submit all available non-exempt assets for the

benefit of creditors under the Plan at the time of Confirmation consisting of the Fusion Judgment and existing non-exempt cash assets existing in Debtor's DIP account at the time of Confirmation, but not including any value associated with the non-exempt portion of Debtor's home furnishings, equipment, or clothes. The non-exempt portion of such home furnishings, equipment, and clothes are believed to be *de minimus*, especially when compared to the additional exempt Plan funding being supplied by the Debtor and the cost of ascertaining value of the exempt portion. With the exception of the Fusion Judgment, further non-exempt assets of the Debtor are expected to be minimal.

Following delivery of the existing non-exempt assets, the Plan will be further funded through delivery of \$225,000 towards the Plan Fund from either a loan to be received by the Debtor for funds 100% secured by the Debtor's exempt retirement account, or if the Debtor was unable to receive such a loan, from delivery of \$225,000 directly withdrawn from this exempt account by the Debtor within forty-five (45) days after Confirmation. Direct withdrawal of funds from Debtor's account will cause the Debtor to incur additional tax consequences, making a loan preferable. Nevertheless, Debtor's retirement account contains sufficient funds to cover tax liability associated with a withdrawal of these funds. From this new value, payment towards Administrative Claims from the Plan Fund would be capped at \$175,000, allowing for payment of at least \$50,000 from the new value added into the Plan Fund for distribution to other claimants. It is assumed that confirmation of the Debtor's Plan would require cramdown of the Judgment Creditor's claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b).

5. Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases.

The Plan proposes to assume all unexpired leases and executory contracts that have not previously been rejected in this bankruptcy case. Debtor's Plan further proposes that it shall have no effect on the post-petition lease of the Debtor's vehicle approved by the Court at ECF Dkt. Entry 159, and the post-petition tenant lease entered into by the Debtor for the renting of the basement unit at the Property.

6. Pending Litigation.

Debtor intends to object to the claim of the District of Columbia that asserts Debtor owes transfer and recordation taxes, penalties, and interests associated with the creation of the 2010 Quitclaim Deed from Len Salas for the Property and cited in the Homestead Opinion. Debtor further intends to seek a refund of vacant real property taxes previously erroneously assessed against the Debtor and paid pre-petition by U.S. Bank for the Deed of Trust for the Property (at the time serviced through SunTrust Bank). Any refunded tax payments would be delivered to US Bank/SPS to reduce the outstanding escrow balance on the Property account associated with the previous payment. Other pending litigation includes the appeal of the Homestead Opinion, which has been fully briefed for the United State District Court for the District of Columbia, as well as avoidance action litigation to be brought by the Judgment Creditors in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Tennessee following the Judgment Creditors' purchase of those claims from the Len Salas Bankruptcy Estate (the "Tennessee Avoidance Actions"). Other pending litigation includes the outstanding appeal of the Judgment Creditor Judgments in the DC Superior Court brought by the Debtor prior to filing bankruptcy. This appeal is currently stayed and would be withdrawn/dismissed by the Debtor upon discharge of debts owed to the Judgement Creditors contemplated in the Plan. Additional litigation may also

be brought to resolve the additional action against 1610 Riggs Place Trust contemplated previously by the Superior Court and/or an action to reduce the judgments in favor of the Judgment Creditors to final judgments pursuant to DC Superior Court Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 54(b). *See Order on Motion to Lift Automatic Stay With Respect to Finalization of Superior Court Judgments and Judgment Creditors' Recovery of Insurance Proceeds*, ECF Dkt. NO. 74. With Plan Confirmation, the Debtor would not oppose steps taken by the Judgment Creditors to resolve these issues or to claim insurance proceeds available under Debtor's Encompass Insurance Policy.

7. Confirmation Order as Recordable Order Conveying Property to Max Salas.

The Plan provides that, upon Confirmation, the Confirmation Order, or a separate order as needed, shall contain terms that will constitute an Order conveying the Property to Max Salas based on the ownership interest of the Debtor to the Property established through the Homestead Opinion and that such order may be recorded with the land records of the District of Columbia in lieu of a deed. If the District of Columbia shall later refuse to honor the Confirmation Order as a document properly conveying the Property to the Debtor, the Debtor reserves the right to obtain an appropriate deed to the Property signed by the trustee in the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case, or if such trustee refuses, to seek the appointment of an escrow agent in Debtor's Bankruptcy Case to sign such deed for recording purposes.

8. Recordation and Other Taxes Covered by Section 1146(a).

Pursuant to section 1146(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the making or delivery of an instrument of transfer under the Plan (including the proposed recordation of the Confirmation Order or other Order as necessary to confirm Debtor's interest in the Property pursuant to the

Homestead Opinion) will not be subject to any stamp tax, real estate transfer, mortgage recording, or any other similar tax.

9. Discharge Following Distribution on the Effective Date of the Plan Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1141(d)(5)(B).

Debtor's Plan provides for a discharge of debts upon the Effective Date of the Plan and distribution of funds from the Plan Fund. Because Debtor's Plan provides for payment of tax debts through April 2023, payments under the Plan will not be completed until that time. Cause is warranted to grant a discharge of debts instead upon the Effective Date pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 1141(d)(5)(B), because unsecured creditors are to receive distribution of funds from the Plan Fund at that time, which will be of a greater value than they would receive if the estate were to be liquidated under chapter 7. Additionally, following the required monthly payments to U.S. Bank and for the extended tax payments, Debtor is unlikely to have any additional disposable income for distribution to his other creditors following the initial distributions of the Plan Fund. Finally, cause is warranted to grant the Debtor a discharge upon the Effective Date of the Plan instead of upon completion of all payments because doing so will allow the Debtor to take steps (and the Debtor will take such steps) to withdraw the appeal of the Judgment Creditor Judgments in the DC Superior Court to further resolve that issue.

VIII. CHAPTER 7 LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

A comparison of the amounts to be paid under the Plan and the amounts that would be available for distribution to creditors in the event of a liquidation under Chapter 7 indicates that the Plan is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the creditors. The Homestead Opinion has confirmed that the Debtor's primary asset is fully exempt pursuant to D.C. Code § 15-501(a)(14) and any sale of the Property in Chapter 7 would not generate funds for payment to the estate

creditors. The Debtor maintains that income generated from the Property (including all Airbnb and other rental income) is similarly exempt as income generated from an exempt asset. At least two creditors (the Judgment Creditors) have questioned whether it is clear that such business income derived from renting of parts of the Property may be treated as exempt. The Court has not issued a ruling on this issue in this case. The Debtor possesses no other assets, other than the Fusion Judgment, likely to generate any significant value to the estate. The Debtor is not aware of any recovery or avoidance actions and does not believe any such actions exist. In addition, a Chapter 7 trustee would hire his own attorneys and would charge a commission on any distributions he did realize. Here, the Debtor is offering to use his exempt Property to fund a Plan of Reorganization, which after reaching agreement with the primary secured lienholder for the Property regarding continued payments for the Property, offers anticipated amounts of \$225,000 in new value for payment towards the Debtor's creditors and claimants. For these reasons, a conversion to Chapter 7 would not necessarily result in a greater distribution to unsecured and penalty claimants and would result in delay of the creditor distributions.

IX. POTENTIAL RECOVERIES FROM VOIDABLE TRANSFERS

The Debtor has not fully analyzed the potential recoveries from voidable transfers, but does not believe any voidable transfers exist that would be beneficial to pursue. The Debtor does not anticipate pursuing any avoidance actions.

X. TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PLAN

To the extent creditors have written off any accounts receivable on their tax returns, ordinary income may be recognized from any distributions received under this Plan.

**THE DISCUSSION OF FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES SET OUT
HEREIN IS LIMITED TO THE GENERAL TAX CONSEQUENCES AFFECTING
CREDITORS AS A RESULT OF THE DISCHARGE OF INDEBTEDNESS WITHOUT
PAYMENT UNDER THE PLAN. THE TAX CONSEQUENCES APPLICABLE TO A
CREDITOR WILL BE ENTIRELY DEPENDENT UPON THE TAX STATUS OF THAT
ENTITY OR INDIVIDUAL. EACH CREDITOR SHOULD CONSULT THEIR OWN
TAX ADVISOR TO DETERMINE THE TREATMENT AFFORDED THEIR
RESPECTIVE CLAIMS BY THE PLAN UNDER FEDERAL TAX LAW, THE TAX
LAW OF THE VARIOUS STATES AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONS OF THE UNITED
STATES AND THE LAW OF FOREIGN JURISDICTIONS.**

**BECAUSE OF CONTINUAL CHANGES BY THE CONGRESS, THE
TREASURY DEPARTMENT, AND THE COURTS WITH RESPECT TO THE TAX
LAWS, NO ASSURANCES CAN BE GIVEN REGARDING INTERPRETATIONS OF
THE TAX LAWS, REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT THERETO OR ANY
OTHER MATTER ASSOCIATED THEREWITH.**

**NO STATEMENT IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS TO BE
CONSTRUED AS TAX ADVICE OR LEGAL ADVICE TO ANY CREDITOR. THE
DEBTOR AND ITS COUNSEL AND ACCOUNTANTS ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY
OR LIABILITY FOR THE TAX CONSEQUENCES A CREDITOR MAY SUSTAIN AS A
RESULT OF THE TREATMENT AFFORDED THEIR CLAIM UNDER THE PLAN.**

XI. RISK FACTORS/FEASIBILITY

While there are risk factors with certain individual aspects of the Debtor's Plan, including securing a loan backed by the Debtor's retirement funds for proposed Plan funding, the Debtor believes that his Plan provides for adequate contingency measures in each instance and that the Plan overall provides minimal risk factors or feasibility issues. Other risk factors include the potential that Debtor's interest in the Property will be overturned either through reversal of the Homestead Opinion on appeal or through loss of a potential avoidance action that may be brought by the Judgment Creditors based on the claims they have purchased from the Len Salas Bankruptcy Case. Reversal of the Debtor's claim to ownership in the Property would affect Debtor's ability to make his continuing payments for tax payments extended under the Plan (which would not be discharged) and for the secured loan for the Property (which would remain as an *in rem* lien on the Property), but would not likely affect other aspects of payment to Debtor's other creditors from the additional Plan funding supplied by the Debtor. The Debtor and his counsel have reviewed the merits of both of these litigation risks associated with the Debtor's ownership in the Property being lost and believe that they will prevail both on the Appeal and in any potential avoidance action that could be brought through the Tennessee Avoidance Actions. Because Debtor's Airbnb income is subject to market forces, there is also the risk that he will not be as successful generating income from this source for payments under the U.S. Bank Stipulation for Plan Treatment and the Extended Tax Payments. In this instance, however, U.S. Bank would be protected by the default provisions under the Stipulation for Plan Treatment. Tax claimants are similarly protected because their debts are not subject to discharge. For these reasons, therefore, the Debtor submits that there is minimal risk under this Chapter 11 Plan.

XII. CONCLUSION

For all the reasons described above, the Debtor urges you to vote to accept the Plan of Reorganization. Any questions regarding this Disclosure Statement may be directed to the undersigned.

Dated: December 5, 2019

By: /s/ Max E. Salas
Max E. Salas, Debtor and Debtor-In-Possession

/s/ Joshua W. Cox
Marc E. Albert, No. 345181
Joshua W. Cox, No. 1033283
STINSON LLP
1775 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006
Tel. (202) 785-3020
Fax (202) 572-9999
marc.albert@stinson.com
joshua.cox@stinson.com
Attorneys for
Max E. Salas, Appellee and Debtor and Debtor-In-Possession